



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/679,480	10/05/2000	Yasuo Suzuki	197484US0	7558

22850 7590 05/08/2006

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

NOTE, JANIS L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1756

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/679,480	Applicant(s) SUZUKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Janis L. Dote	Art Unit 1756	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5-7,10,11,15-17,20,24-26,29,33-35,38-45 and 47-53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5-7,10,11,15-17,20,24-26,29,33-35,38-45 and 47-53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 October 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/20/05;4/10/06</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1756

1. The examiner acknowledges the addition of claims 49-53 set forth in the amendment filed on Feb. 22, 2006. Claims 1, 5-7, 10, 11, 15-17, 20, 24-26, 29, 33-35, 38-45, and 47-53 are pending.

2. The examiner has considered the copending US applications listed in the "List of related cases" in the Information Disclosure Statements filed on Dec. 20, 2005, and Apr. 10, 2006.

3. Claim 49 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicants are required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 49 recites the phrase "which is suitable for a reverse developing method in an electrophotographic image forming apparatus which comprises a contact charger." Said phrase is a recitation of intended use, which does not further limit the photoreceptor compositional requirements recited in claim 1 from which claim 49 depends.

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1756

5. Claims 1, 5, 38, 39, 48, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent 8-029998 (JP'998), as evidenced by applicants' admission at page 31, lines 9-11, of the instant specification (applicants' admission I), combined with: (1) Japanese Patent 07-295250 (JP'250); (2) US 5,250,990 (Fujimura); and (3) US 4,987,046 (Kutami). See the DERWENT machine-assisted translations of JP'998 and JP'250, and the Japanese Patent Office (JPO) machine-assisted translation of JP'998 for cites.

JP'998 discloses an electrophotographic photoreceptor comprising a conductive aluminum drum having a diameter of 80 mm, an intermediate layer, a charge generation layer, and a charge transport layer. The charge generation layer comprises 3 parts by weight of a π -form metal-free phthalocyanine pigment and 3.5 parts by weight of the asymmetric bisazo pigment (I-24) that meets the limitations of formula (VII) recited in instant claim 38. DERWENT translation, Table 1B(6), compound (I)-24; paragraphs 0035 and 0042; and example 8 in paragraph 0047; and JPO translation, paragraph 0035, lines 4-5. The weight ratio of phthalocyanine pigment to bisazo pigment is 3:3.5, which is within the range of 1:5 to 5:1 recited in instant claim 1. The intermediate layer has a layer thickness of 0.1 μm , which meets the layer thickness range of "up to 10 μm , excluding 0" recited

Art Unit: 1756

in instant claim 48. See the JPO translation, paragraph 0035, lines 4-5. (Note that the DERWENT translation of paragraph 0035 is missing the text in lines 4-5 of the JPO translation.) JP'998 also discloses that the asymmetric bisazo pigment can equally be the asymmetric bisazo pigment (I-29), which meets the limitations of formula (VIII) recited in instant claim 39. See the DERWENT translation, Table 1-(7), compound (I)-29; paragraph 0043; and example 9, paragraph 0047. According to JP'998, its photoreceptor has high spectral sensitivity in the visible light to the near infrared region. DERWENT translation, paragraph 0004.

JP'998 does not exemplify a photoreceptor comprising an intermediate layer comprising titanium oxide as recited in the instant claims. However, JP'998 discloses that a fine-powder pigment of a metallic oxide, such as titanium oxide, may be added to the binder resin of its intermediate layer to prevent the occurrence of moire and to reduce the residual electric potential of the photoreceptor. DERWENT translation, paragraph 0030. These are the same benefits sought by applicants. See the instant specification, page 31, lines 9-11.

It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art, in view of the teachings of JP'998, to add the metal pigment titanium oxide to the intermediate layer in the

Art Unit: 1756

photoreceptor disclosed by JP'998 because that person would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining an electrophotographic photoreceptor that prevents the occurrence of moire and exhibits a reduction in residual electric potential.

JP'998 also does not disclose that the charge transport layer comprises a sulfur-containing compound as recited in the instant claims. However, JP'998 discloses that the charge transport layer can comprise an antioxidant. DERWENT translation, paragraph 0027.

JP'250 discloses sulfur-containing compounds that meet the compositional limitations of formulas (III), (S-1), (S-2), and (S-3) recited in the instant claims. JP'250 discloses that said sulfur-containing compounds can be used as antioxidants in charge transport layers of photoreceptors. DERWENT translation, paragraph 0007, compounds (I-1) to (I-4) at paragraph 0026, compounds (II-1) to (II-3) at paragraph 0028. JP'250 exemplifies a charge transport layer comprising 1.5 parts by weight of the sulfur-containing antioxidant per 100 parts by weight of the charge transport material. The amount of 1.5 parts by weight was determined from the information provided in the DERWENT translation, paragraph 0050. The amount of 1.5 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the charge

Art Unit: 1756

transport material is within the range of "0.1 to 5 parts by weight . . . based on 100 parts by weight" of the charge transport material recited in instant claim 1. JP'250 discloses that said sulfur-containing compounds prevent the deterioration of the photoreceptor due to ozone in the ambient air or due to strong light irradiation. The photoreceptor is said to have improved potential stability over long periods of time. DERWENT translation, paragraphs 0003, 0006, and 0007, and paragraph 0054, lines 1-4. JP'250 further teaches that its sulfur-containing antioxidants provide photoreceptors with improved stability of electrification and sensitivity over long periods of time compared to known hindered phenol antioxidants. DERWENT translation, Table 1, comparative examples 3 and 4, and paragraph 0054, lines 14-18.

It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to use JP'250's sulfur-containing compounds that meet the compositional limitations of formulas (III), (S-1), (S-2), or (S-3) recited in the instant claims, in an amount of 1.5 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the charge transport material in the charge transport layer, as the antioxidant in the photoreceptor rendered obvious over the teachings of JP'998. That person would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining a photoreceptor that has

Art Unit: 1756

improved potential stability over long periods of time and that provides stable toner images after many repeated copies.

JP'998 does not exemplify a photoreceptor comprising an aluminum drum having a drum diameter of 30 mm as the electroconductive substrate as recited in instant claim 1. However, JP'998 does not exclude the use of an aluminum drum having a diameter of 30 mm. JP'998 discloses that the electroconductive substrate can be an aluminum pipe. DERWENT translation, paragraph 0017.

According to Fujimura, a "seamless cylindrical substrate [i.e., the drum]" for photoconductive members is attracting attention in recent years because the electrophotographic apparatuses comprising said photoconductors is simple to make, low in cost and compact, and the photoconductive members can be made smaller. Fujimura, col. 1, lines 52-57. More specifically, Fujimura discloses that "in recent years, with the process of miniaturization of electrophotographic apparatus, it has been desired to develop a space-saving type electrophotographic apparatus which is inexpensive and transportable, directed to individual use . . . an apparatus using a drum with a small diameter and a blade cleaning system, is most suitable." Fujimura, col. 1, lines 59-66.

Art Unit: 1756

According to Kutami, prior art aluminum drum bases made by extrusion and machining the surface of said extruded drums or made by a drawing and ironing process have shortcomings, e.g., high manufacturing costs, poor productivity, or the inability to form photoreceptors having the required length of 210 mm with a drum diameter of less than 40 mm. Kutami, col. 1, lines 13-57. Kutami teaches an electrically conductive aluminum drum for use in electrophotographic photoreceptors, which is lightweight and thin-walled. The drum can be continuously manufactured at a low cost without any restriction of the length thereof. Thus, the drum is free of the above-mentioned shortcomings of the conventional drums used in electrophotographic photoreceptors. Col. 1, lines 60-68. Kutami further discloses that the dimensional accuracy of the drum is remarkably high, and the photoreceptors comprising said drum as the electrically conductive base provide clear images uniformly without any abnormalities due to the flaw and joint on the surface of the photoconductive drum. Col. 14, lines 48-53. The Kutami aluminum drum is obtained by forming an aluminum sheet in the form of a tube having a seam and welding the seam of the tube by resistance welding to form an "electroseamed" tube. The aluminum tube has an outer diameter of 30 mm and a length of

Art Unit: 1756

260 mm. Col. 2, lines 34-41; and example 1 at col. 7, line 61, to col. 8, line 5.

It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art, in view of the teachings of Fujimura and Kutami, to use the aluminum drum having an outer diameter of 30 mm as taught by Kutami as the electroconductive substrate in the photoreceptor rendered obvious over the combined teachings of JP'998 and JP'250. That person would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining an electrophotographic photoreceptor that is easily manufactured, light in weight, that has high dimensional accuracy, and that is small in size, to be used in miniaturized space-saving electrophotographic devices, and that provides clear images uniformly without any abnormalities due to the flaw and joint on the surface of the photoconductive drum, as discussed by Kutami.

The recitation, "photoreceptor according to Claim 1, which is suitable for a reverse developing method in an electrophotographic image forming apparatus which comprises a contact charger," in claim 49 is merely a statement of intended use that does not distinguish the photoreceptor rendered obvious over the combined teachings of the cited prior art. As discussed above, the photoreceptor rendered obvious over the combined teachings of the cited prior art meets the

Art Unit: 1756

photoreceptor limitations recited in instant claim 1, from which claim 49 depends. Thus, the intended use recited in the instant claim does not result in a compositional or structural difference between the photoreceptor recited in instant claim 49 and the photoreceptor rendered obvious over the combined teachings of the cited prior art.

6. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'998, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami, as applied to claim 1 above, further combined with additional teachings in JP'998. See the DERWENT translations of JP'998 and JP'250, and the JPO translation of JP'998 for cites.

JP'998, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami renders obvious an electrophotographic photoreceptor as described in paragraph 5 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The claim is rejected for the reasons discussed in the office action mailed on Nov. 3, 2005, paragraph 7, which are incorporated herein by reference.

7. Claims 10, 11, 15, 20, 24, 29, 33, 40-45, and 50-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1756

JP'998, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami, as applied to claims 1, 5, 38, and 39 above, further combined with US 5,047,803 (Kanoto). See the DERWENT translations of JP'998 and JP'250, and the JPO translation of JP'998 for cites.

JP'998, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami renders obvious an electrophotographic photoreceptor as described in paragraph 5 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

JP'998 does not disclose that the electrophotographic photoreceptor can be used in a process cartridge or in an apparatus as recited in the instant claims. Nor does JP'998 disclose that its photoreceptor can be used in the imaging forming method recited in the instant claims.

However, the use of process cartridges in electrophotographic apparatuses are well known in the art.

Kanoto discloses that process cartridges in electrophotographic apparatuses are well known in the art. Kanoto discloses that process cartridges comprising an electrophotographic photoreceptor and at least one processing means, such as a contact roller charger or a corona charger, a developing device, a cleaner, and other elements are widely used in the field of image forming apparatuses that are small and

Art Unit: 1756

that do not require maintenance. Col. 1, lines 18-28, and col. 3, lines 36-38. Kanoto discloses an imaging forming apparatus comprising a process cartridge that is easily dismounted from the main assembly of the image forming apparatus. Col. 1, lines 60-63. Kanoto shows an example of such an apparatus in Fig. 1. The apparatus comprises a process cartridge **100**, a laser beam scanner **7** as the image-wise exposure source, an image transfer roller **8** to transfer the toned image from the photoreceptor to a receiving member, and a pair of fixing rollers **15a** and **15b** to fix the toned image on the receiving member. The process cartridge **100** comprises a photosensitive drum **1** (i.e., photoreceptor), a charging roller **2**, a developing device **3**, and a cleaning device **4** to remove residual toner or other contaminants from the photoreceptor after development. See Fig. 1, and col. 2, line 37, to col. 4, line 38. Charging roller **2** meets the contact charger recited in instant claims 50, 51, and 53. Kanoto discloses that the charging roller **2**, the developing device **3**, or the cleaning device **4** need not be contained in the process cartridge **100**, but can be part of the image forming apparatus. Col. 2, lines 57-60. Kanoto further discloses that the developing device **3** in the process cartridge or image forming apparatus can reverse develop the electrostatic latent

Art Unit: 1756

image formed on the photoreceptor with a developer having the same polarity as the charge remaining on the photoreceptor. Col. 3, lines 57-61. Said developing device meets the developing device recited in instant claim 52. Kanoto further discloses that its imaging apparatus performs an image forming process that meets the process steps recited in instant claims 29 and 53, but for the step of the providing the particular photoreceptor. Kanoto, col. 3, line 49, to col. 4, line 38.

It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art, in view of the teachings of Kanoto, to incorporate the electrophotographic photoreceptor rendered obvious over the combined teachings of JP'998, JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami in Kanoto's detachable process cartridge in its image forming apparatus. That person would have had reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining a reversal development imaging method and an image forming apparatus comprising an easily detachable process cartridge having the benefits of being small and free from maintenance, each of which provides stable toner images after many repeated runs as disclosed by JP'250, as well as uniformly clear images without any abnormalities due to the flaw and joint on the surface of the photoconductive drum, as discussed by Kutami.

Art Unit: 1756

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'998, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami, as applied to claim 5 above, further combined with US 4,507,374 (Kakuta), as evidenced by applicants' admission at page 21, lines 11-19, of the instant specification (applicants' admission II), and DERWENT abstract Acc. No. 1983-816039. See the DERWENT translations of JP'998 and JP'250, and the JPO translation of JP'998 for cites.

JP'998, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami renders obvious an electrophotographic photoreceptor as described in paragraph 5 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The claim is rejected for the reasons discussed in the office action mailed on Nov. 3, 2005, paragraph 9, which are incorporated herein by reference.

9. Claims 16, 25, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'998, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, Kutami, and Kanoto, as applied to claim 15, 24, and 33 above, further combined with Kakuta, as evidenced by applicants' admission II and DERWENT

Art Unit: 1756

abstract Acc. No. 1983-816039. See the DERWENT translations of JP'998 and JP'250, and the JPO translation of JP'998 for cites.

JP'998, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, Kutami, and Kanoto renders obvious an imaging apparatus comprising a process cartridge and an image forming method as described in paragraph 7 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The claims are rejected for the reasons discussed in the office action mailed on Nov. 5, 2005, paragraph 10, which are incorporated herein by reference.

10. Claims 1, 5, 38, 39, 48, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent 7-128890 (JP'890), as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami. See the DERWENT machine-assisted translations of JP'890 and JP'250 for cites.

JP'890 discloses an electrophotographic photoreceptor comprising a conductive aluminum drum having a diameter of 80 mm, an intermediate layer, a charge generation layer, and a charge transport layer. The intermediate layer has a thickness of 0.1 μm . The charge generation layer comprises 2.5 parts by weight of an X-form metal-free phthalocyanine pigment and 3 parts by weight of the asymmetric bisazo pigment (I-24), which

Art Unit: 1756

meets the limitations of formula (VII) recited in instant claim 38. Translation, Table 1B(6), compound (I)-24; paragraphs 0035 and 0042; and example 8 in paragraph 0047. (Note that the DERWENT translation paragraph 0042 incorrectly states that "3.0 weight parts and 2.5 weight-parts of X type metal-less phthalocyanines were added for the illustration compound (1)-24 disazo pigment." Paragraph 0042 in JP'890 states that 3.0 weight parts of the compound (1)-24 and 2.5 weight parts of X type metal-less phthalocyanine are used to form the charge generation layer.) The weight ratio of phthalocyanine pigment to bisazo pigment is 2.5:3, which is within the range of 1:5 to 5:1 recited in instant claim 1. JP'890 also discloses that the asymmetric bisazo pigment can equally be the asymmetric bisazo pigment (I-29), which meets the limitations of formula (VIII) recited in instant claim 39. See the translation, Table 1-(7), compound (I)-29; paragraph 0043; and example 9, paragraph 0047. According to JP'890, its photoreceptor has high spectral sensitivity in the visible light to the near infrared region. Translation, paragraph 0004.

JP'890 does not exemplify a photoreceptor comprising an intermediate layer comprising titanium oxide as recited in the instant claims. However, JP'890 discloses that a fine-powder pigment of a metallic oxide, such as titanium oxide, may be

Art Unit: 1756

added to the binder resin of its intermediate layer to prevent the occurrence of moire and to reduce the residual electric potential of the photoreceptor. Translation, paragraph 0030. These are the same benefits sought by applicants. See the instant specification, page 31, lines 9-11.

It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art, in view of the teachings of JP'890, to add the metal pigment titanium oxide to the intermediate layer in the photoreceptor disclosed by JP'890, because that person would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining an electrophotographic photoreceptor that prevents the occurrence of moire and exhibits a reduction in residual electric potential.

JP'890 does not disclose that the charge transport layer comprises a sulfur-containing compound as recited in the instant claims.

JP'250 discloses sulfur-containing compounds that meet the compositional limitations of formulas (III), (S-1), (S-2), and (S-3) recited in the instant claims. JP'250 discloses that said sulfur-containing compounds can be used as antioxidants in charge transport layers of photoreceptors. The discussion of JP'250 in paragraph 5, supra, is incorporated herein by reference.

Art Unit: 1756

It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to use JP'250's sulfur-containing compounds that meet the compositional limitations of formulas (III), (S-1), (S-2), or (S-3) recited in the instant claims in an amount of 1.5 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the charge transport material, as an antioxidant in the charge transport layer in the photoreceptor rendered obvious over the teachings of JP'890. That person would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining a photoreceptor that has improved potential stability over long periods of time and that provides stable toner images after many repeated copies.

JP'890 does not exemplify a photoreceptor comprising an aluminum drum having a drum diameter of 30 mm as recited in instant claim 1. However, JP'890 does not exclude the use of an aluminum drum having a diameter of 30 mm as the electroconductive substrate in its photoreceptor. JP'890 discloses that the electroconductive substrate can be an aluminum drum. DERWENT translation, paragraph 0017.

Fujimura discloses that compact and space-saving electrophotographic apparatus and smaller photoconductive members can be obtained by using seamless cylindrical substrates as bases for the photoconductive members. Kutami teaches an electrically conductive aluminum drum base for

Art Unit: 1756

electrophotographic photoreceptors having a drum diameter of 30 mm. The discussions of Fujimura and Kutami in paragraph 5 above are incorporated herein by reference.

It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art, in view of the teachings of Fujimura and Kutami, to use the aluminum drum as taught by Kutami as the electroconductive substrate in the photoreceptor rendered obvious over the combined teachings of JP'850 and JP'250. That person would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining an electrophotographic photoreceptor that is easily manufactured, light in weight, that has high dimensional accuracy, and that is small in size, to be used in miniaturized space-saving electrophotographic devices, and that provides clear images uniformly without any abnormalities due to the flaw and joint on the surface of the photoconductive drum, as discussed by Kutami.

The recitation, "photoreceptor according to Claim 1, which is suitable for a reverse developing method in an electrophotographic image forming apparatus which comprises a contact charger," in claim 49 is merely a statement of intended use that does not distinguish the photoreceptor rendered obvious over the combined teachings of the cited prior art. As discussed above, the photoreceptor rendered obvious over the

Art Unit: 1756

combined teachings of the cited prior art meets the photoreceptor limitations recited in instant claim 1, from which claim 49 depends. Thus, the intended use recited in the instant claim does not result in a compositional or structural difference between the photoreceptor recited in instant claim 49 and the photoreceptor rendered obvious over the combined teachings of the cited prior art.

11. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'890, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami, as applied to claim 1 above, further combined with additional teachings in JP'890. See the DERWENT translations of JP'890 and JP'250 for cites.

JP'890, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami renders obvious an electrophotographic photoreceptor as described in paragraph 10 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The claim is rejected for the reasons discussed in the office action mailed on Nov. 3, 2005, paragraph 12, which are incorporated herein by reference.

Art Unit: 1756

12. Claims 10, 11, 15, 20, 24, 29, 33, 40-45, and 50-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'890, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, Kutami, as applied to claims 1, 5, 38, and 39 above, further combined with Kanoto. See the DERWENT translations of JP'890 and JP'250 for cites.

JP'890, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami renders obvious an electrophotographic photoreceptor as described in paragraph 10 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

JP'890 does not disclose that the electrophotographic photoreceptor can be used in a process cartridge or in an apparatus as recited in the instant claims. Nor does JP'890 disclose that its photoreceptor can be used in the imaging forming method recited in the instant claims.

However, the use of process cartridges in electrophotographic apparatuses are well known in the art. Kanoto discloses an imaging forming apparatus comprising a readily detachable process cartridge. The apparatus and process cartridge meet the structural limitations recited in instant claims 10, 11, 20, and 50-52 but for the particular photoreceptor. Kanoto further discloses that its imaging apparatus performs an image forming process that meets the

Art Unit: 1756

process steps recited in instant claims 29 and 53, but for the step of the providing the particular photoreceptor. The discussion of Kanoto in paragraph 7, supra, is incorporated herein by reference.

It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art, in view of the teachings of Kanoto, to incorporate the electrophotographic photoreceptor rendered obvious over the combined teachings of JP'890, JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami in Kanoto's detachable process cartridge in its image forming apparatus. That person would have had reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining a reversal development imaging method and an image forming apparatus comprising an easily detachable process cartridge having the benefits of being small and free from maintenance, each of which provides stable toner images after many repeated runs as disclosed by JP'250 as well as uniformly clear images without any abnormalities due to the flaw and joint on the surface of the photoconductive drum, as discussed by Kutami.

13. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'890, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami, as applied to claim 5 above, further combined with US 3,357,989

Art Unit: 1756

(Byrne). See the DERWENT translations of JP'890 and JP'250 for cites.

JP'890, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, and Kutami renders obvious an electrophotographic photoreceptor as described in paragraph 10 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The claim is rejected for the reasons discussed in the office action mailed on Nov. 3, 2005, paragraph 14, which are incorporated herein by reference.

14. Claim 17, 26, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'890, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, Kutami, and Kanoto, as applied to claim 15, 24, and 33 above, further combined with Byrne. See the DERWENT machine-assisted translations of JP'890 and JP'250 for cites.

JP'890, as evidenced by applicants' admission I, combined with JP'250, Fujimura, Kutami, and Kanoto renders obvious an imaging apparatus comprising a process cartridge and an image forming method as described in paragraph 12 above, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The claims are rejected for the reasons discussed in the office action mailed on Nov. 3, 2005, paragraph 15, which are incorporated herein by reference.

15. Applicant's arguments filed on Feb. 22, 2006, with respect to the rejections over JP'999 and the rejections over JP'890, set forth in paragraphs 5-14 above have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants assert that "JP'998, or JP'890 do not want to change their electro-conductive substrate is [sic] as they are not concerned with improving the properties of the electro-conductive substrate."

Applicants' assertion is not persuasive. As discussed in rejections in paragraphs 5 and 10 above, Fujimura teaches the benefits of using a "seamless cylindrical substrate [i.e., the drum]" having a small drum diameter in photoreceptors. Kutami teaches a particular electroconductive aluminum drum having an outer diameter of 30 mm. Kutami further teaches the benefits of using its aluminum drum. These references therefore provide reason, suggestion, and motivation to use the Kutami aluminum drum as the electroconductive drum in the photoreceptors rendered obvious over the combined teachings of JP'998 and JP'250 and over the combined teachings of JP'998 and JP'250.

Art Unit: 1756

Accordingly, for the reasons discussed in paragraphs 5-14 above, the instantly claimed photoreceptor, imaging apparatus, process cartridge, and image forming method are rendered obvious over the combined teachings of the prior art.

Applicants further urge that in the examples of Kutami, the images were produced with a scorotron charger using a normal developing method for the copying machine. Applicants assert that "[w]hen a photoreceptor having Kutami's aluminum drum is used for a copying machine using a contact charging roller and/or a reverse developing method, abnormal images such as black spot images and background fouling are easily formed because of leaking of a potential of a portion of the photoreceptor corresponding to the joint of the aluminum drum because the charger is contacted with the photoreceptor."

Applicants' arguments are not persuasive. Kutami does not limit the use of its aluminum drums to the copying machine exemplified in the examples. Kutami states at col. 7, lines 55-57, that the "following examples, which [sic] are given for illustration of the invention, and [sic] are not intended to be limiting thereof." A reference is relevant for all that it teaches. In re Heck, 216 USPQ 1038, 1039 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

"[I]n a section 103 inquiry, 'the fact that a specific [embodiment] is taught to be preferred is not controlling, since

Art Unit: 1756

all disclosures of the prior art, including unpreferred embodiments, must be considered.'" Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 10 USPQ2d 1843, 1846 (Fed. Cir. 1989) (quoting In re Lamberti, 192 USPQ 278, 280 (CCPA 1976)).

Applicants' assertion that Kutami's aluminum drum would provide abnormal images when used in copying machines comprising a charging roller and/or a reverse developing method is mere attorney argument. Applicants have not provided any objective evidence to support their assertion. Moreover, as discussed in the rejections in paragraphs 5 and 10, Kutami teaches that the dimensional accuracy of its aluminum drum is "remarkably high" and that photoreceptors comprising said drum as the electrically conductive base provide uniformly clear images without any abnormalities due to the flaws and the joint on the surface of the photoconductive drum. Furthermore, Kutami also teaches that the electroseamed aluminum tube is preferably subjected to further processing to correct the shape and improve the dimensional accuracy, e.g. straightness, cylindricity, and wall-thickness uniformity. Col. 2, lines 41-57; and for example, Table 1 at col. 4. In example 1, the welded portion of the electroseamed aluminum drum was machined by a cutting tool made of a "super hard alloy." Example 1 at col. 8, lines 1-5. Kutami further teaches that the resultant high dimensional

Art Unit: 1756

accurate electroseamed tube preferably has a wall-thickness non-uniformity of 0.05 mm or less. Col. 3, lines 4-6. According to Kutami, when the wall-thickness non-uniformity of the base drum is within the above range, "the runout of the base drum can be minimized after flanging, and accordingly the image can be obtained uniformly and without any abnormality due to flaws and the joint on the surface of the base drum" (emphasis added). Col. 3, lines 23-28. Kutami teaches that the surface profile of the electroseamed tube can be further improved by "surface treatment, such as machining, grinding, abrasion . . . [such that] Any flaw on the surface of the tube is thus removed therefrom and the surface can be kept smooth . . . abnormal images due to poor surface profile of the drum can be prevented." Col. 5, lines 1-9. Thus, based on the teachings in Kutami, it appears that the Kutami aluminum drum has a smooth and uniform surface. Any surface non-uniformity due to the welded portion or joint would have been removed by the disclosed processing in order to obtain the disclosed image quality. Thus, it appears that the welded portion or joint in the Kutami aluminum drum would not cause problems in forming images without abnormalities as asserted by applicants in copying machines comprising a contract roller charger and/or a reverse developing method.

Art Unit: 1756

Accordingly, the rejections in paragraphs 5-14 stand.

16. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janis L. Dote whose telephone number is (571) 272-1382. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Mr. Nam Nguyen, can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The central fax phone number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry regarding papers not received regarding this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Supervisory Application Examiner Ms. Claudia Sullivan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1052.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 1756

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLD

May 3, 2006

Janis L. Dote
JANIS L. DOTE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1500
1700